#49

NOAA Technical Report NMFS 49

March 1987

Reproduction, Movements, and Population Dynamics of the Southern Kingfish, *Menticirrhus americanus*, in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico

Stephen M. Harding
Mark E. Chittenden, Jr.

LIBRARY

SEP 15 1987

National Marine Fisheries Service
2570 Dole Street
Honolulu, Hawaii

NOAA
Tech.
Rept.
NMFS
49

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Marine Fisheries Service

NOAA TECHNICAL REPORT NMFS

The major responsibilities of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) are to monitor and assess the abundance and geographic distribution of fishery resources, to understand and predict fluctuations in the quantity and distribution of these resources, and to establish levels for their optimum use. NMFS is also charged with the development and implementation of policies for managing national fishing grounds, development and enforcement of domestic fisheries regulations, surveillance of foreign fishing off United States coastal waters, and the development and enforcement of international fishery agreements and policies. NMFS also assists the fishing industry through marketing service and economic analysis programs, and mortgage insurance and vessel construction subsidies. It collects, analyzes, and publishes statistics on various phases of the industry.

The NOAA Technical Report NMFS series was established in 1983 to replace two subcategories of the Technical Reports series: "Special Scientific Report—Fisheries" and "Circular." The series contains the following types of reports: Scientific investigations that document long-term continuing programs of NMFS; intensive scientific reports on studies of restricted scope; papers on applied fishery problems; technical reports of general interest intended to aid conservation and management; reports that review in considerable detail and at a high technical level certain broad areas of research; and technical papers originating in economics studies and from management investigations. Since this is a formal series, all submitted papers receive peer review and those accepted receive professional editing before publication.

Copies of NOAA Technical Reports NMFS are available free in limited numbers to governmental agencies, both Federal and State. They are also available in exchange for other scientific and technical publications in the marine sciences. Individual copies may be obtained from: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Although the contents have not been copyrighted and may be reprinted entirely, reference to source is appreciated.

13. Guidelines for reducing porpoise mortality in tuna purse seining, by James M. Coe, David B. Holts, and Richard W. Butler. September 1984, 16 p.

14. Synopsis of biological data on shortnose sturgeon, *Acipenser brevirostrum* LeSueur 1818, by Michael J. Dadswell, Bruce D. Taubert, Thomas S. Squiers, Donald Marchette, and Jack Buckley. October 1984, 45 p.

15. Chaetognatha of the Caribbean sea and adjacent areas, by Harding B. Michel. October 1984, 33 p.

16. Proceedings of the Ninth and Tenth U.S.-Japan Meetings on Aquaculture, by Carl J. Sindermann (editor). November 1984, 92 p.

17. Identification and estimation of size from the beaks of 18 species of cephalopods from the Pacific Ocean, by Gary A. Wolff. November 1984, 50 p.

18. A temporal and spatial study of invertebrate communities associated with hard-bottom habitats in the South Atlantic Bight, by E. L. Wenner, P. Hinde, D. M. Knott, and R. F. Van Dolah. November 1984, 104 p.

19. Synopsis of biological data on spottail pinfish, *Diplodus holbrooki* (Pisces: Sparidae), by George H. Darcy. January 1985, 11 p.

20. Ichthyoplankton of the Continental Shelf near Kodiak Island, Alaska, by Arthur W. Kendall, Jr., and Jean R. Dunn. January 1985, 89 p.

21. Annotated bibliography on hypoxia and its effects on marine life, with emphasis on the Gulf of Mexico, by Maurice L. Renaud. February 1985, 9 p.

22. Congrid eels of the eastern Pacific and key to their Leptocephali, by Solomon N. Raju. February 1985, 19 p.

23. Synopsis of biological data on the pinfish, *Lagodon rhomboides* (Pisces:Sparidae), by George H. Darcy. February 1985, 32 p.

24. Temperature conditions in the cold pool 1977-81: A comparison between southern New England and New York transects, by Steven K. Cook. February 1985, 22 p.

25. Parasitology and pathology of marine organisms of the world ocean, by William J. Hargis, Jr. (editor). March 1985, 135 p.

26. Synopsis of biological data on the sand perch, *Diplectrum formosum* (Pisces: Serranidae), by George H. Darcy. March 1985, 21 p.

27. Proceedings of the Eleventh U.S.-Japan Meeting on Aquaculture, Salmon Enhancement, Tokyo, Japan, October 19-20, 1982, by Carl J. Sindermann (editor). March 1985, 102 p.

28. Review of geographical stocks of tropical dolphins (*Stenella* spp. and *Delphinus delphis*) in the eastern Pacific, by William F. Perrin, Michael D. Scott, G. Jay Walker, and Virginia L. Cass. March 1985, 28 p.

29. Prevalence, intensity, longevity, and persistence of *Anisakis* sp. larvae and *Lacistorhynchus tenuis* metacestodes in San Francisco striped bass, by Mike Moser, Judy A. Sakanari, Carol A. Reilly, and Jeannette Whipple. April 1985, 4 p.

30. Synopsis of biological data on the pink shrimp, *Pandalus borealis* Kröyer, 1838, by Sandra E. Shumway, Herbert C. Perkins, Daniel F. Schick, and Alden P. Stickney. May 1985, 57 p.

31. Shark catches from selected fisheries off the U.S. east coast, by Emory D. Anderson, John G. Casey, John J. Hoey, and W. N. Witzell. July 1985, 22 p.

32. Nutrient Distributions for Georges Bank and adjacent waters in 1979, by A. F. J. Draxler, A. Matte, R. Waldhauer, and J. E. O'Reilly. July 1985, 34 p.

33. Marine flora and fauna of the Northeastern United States. Echinodermata: Echinoidea, by D. Keith Serafy and F. Julian Fell. September 1985, 27 p.

34. Additions to a revision of the shark genus *Carcharhinus*: Synonymy of *Aprionodon* and *Hypoprion*, and description of a new species of *Carcharhinus* (Carcharhinidae), by J. A. F. Garrick. November 1985, 26 p.

35. Synoptic review of the literature on the Southern oyster drill *Thais haemastoma floridana*, by Philip A. Butler. November 1985, 9 p.

36. An egg production method for estimating spawning biomass of pelagic fish: Application to the northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*, by Reuben Lasker (editor). December 1985, 99 p.

37. A histopathologic evaluation of gross lesions excised from commercially important North Atlantic marine fishes, by Robert A. Murchelano, Linda Despres-Patanjo, and John Ziskowski. March 1986, 14 p.

38. Fishery atlas of the northwestern Hawaiian Islands, by Richard N. Uchida and James H. Uchiyama (editors). September 1986, 142 p.

39. Survey of fish protective facilities at water withdrawal sites on the Snake and Columbia Rivers, by George A. Swan, Tommy G. Withrow, and Donn L. Park. April 1986, 34 p.

40. Potential impact of ocean thermal energy conversion (OTEC) on fisheries, by Edward P. Myers, Donald E. Hoss, Walter M. Matsumoto, David S. Peters, Michael P. Seki, Richard N. Uchida, John D. Ditmars, and Robert A. Paddock. June 1986, 33 p.

41. A stationary visual census technique for quantitatively assessing community structure of coral reef fishes, by James A. Bohnsack and Scott P. Bannerot. July 1986, 15 p.

42. Effects of temperature on the biology of the northern shrimp, *Pandalus borealis*, in the Gulf of Maine, by Spencer Apollonio, David K. Stevenson, and Earl E. Dunton, Jr. September 1986, 22 p.

43. Environment and resources of seamounts in the North Pacific, by Richard N. Uchida, Sigeiti Hayasi, and George W. Boehlert (editors). September 1986, 105 p.

44. Synopsis of biological data on the porgies, *Calamus arctifrons* and *C. proridens* (Pisces: Sparidae), by George H. Darcy. September 1986, 19 p.

45. Meristic variation in *Sebastes* (Scorpaenidae), with an analysis of character association and bilateral pattern and their significance in species separation, by Lo-chai Chen. September 1986, 17 p.

46. Distribution and relative abundance of pelagic nonsalmonid nekton off Oregon and Washington 1979-84, by Richard D. Brodeur and William G. Pearcy. December 1986, 85 p.

NMFS Honolulu Library
00000000004739

NOAA Technical Report NMFS 49

Reproduction, Movements, and Population Dynamics of the Southern Kingfish, *Menticirrhus americanus*, in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico

Stephen M. Harding
Mark E. Chittenden, Jr.

March 1987

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Anthony J. Calio, Administrator
National Marine Fisheries Service
William E. Evans, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

Library
NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service
SWFC Honolulu Laboratory F/SWC2
2570 Dole Street
Honolulu, HI 96822-2396

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) does not approve, recommend or endorse any proprietary product or proprietary material mentioned in this publication. No reference shall be made to NMFS, or to this publication furnished by NMFS, in any advertising or sales promotion which would indicate or imply that NMFS approves, recommends or endorses any proprietary product or proprietary material mentioned herein, or which has as its purpose an intent to cause directly or indirectly the advertised product to be used or purchased because of this NMFS publication.

CONTENTS

Introduction 1

Methods 1

Results
- Maturation 2
- Spawning periodicity 2
  - Spring 3
  - Fall 3
- Bathymetric distribution and recruitment 3
- Age determination and growth 4
- Maximum size, life span, and sex ratio 4
- Weight, girth, and length relationships 4

Discussion
- Maturation 4
- Spawning periodicity 4
- Bathymetric distribution and recruitment 5
- Age determination and growth 5
- Sex ratio and maximum size 6
- General discussion 6

Acknowledgments 6

Citations 6

Figures 8

Tables 16

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2025

https://archive.org/details/noaa-technical-report-nmfs-ssrf_1987-03_49

Reproduction, Movements, and Population Dynamics of the Southern Kingfish, *Menticirrhus americanus*, in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico

STEPHEN M. HARDING[1]
MARK E. CHITTENDEN, JR.[2]
Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843

ABSTRACT

***Menticirrhus americanus* in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico mature at 150-220 mm TL and 12-14 months of age, with males maturing when 10-40 mm smaller than females. Spawning occurs within a broad period from February through November with two discrete peaks which coincide with the periodicity of downcoast alongshore currents (towards Mexico) in spring and fall. This species occurs at depths of less than 5 to 27 m, being most abundant at 5 m or shallower. Young-of-the-year recruit primarily at 5-9 m or shallower and gradually expand their bathymetric range. Age determination by length frequency is feasible in *M. americanus* but not as simple as in species that spawn in one major period of the year. Only one or two spawned groups normally predominated at any one time and no more than three co-occurred with few possible exceptions. Observed mean sizes were 138 mm TL at 6 months, and 192 and 272 mm at ages I and II, respectively. Typical maximum size was 296-308 mm and typical maximum age is probably 2-3 years. The largest fish captured were 392 and 455 mm. Observed sex ratio was 1.2 females to 1 male. Weight, girth, and length-length regressions are presented.**

INTRODUCTION

The southern kingfish, *Menticirrhus americanus*, is an inshore bottomfish ranging from Long Island, New York to Florida, through the Gulf of Mexico (Gulf), and south to Buenos Aires, Argentina (Schaefer 1965; Johnson 1978). In U.S. waters, this species is most common south of Chesapeake Bay (Welsh and Breder 1923). It is a popular sport and food fish along the southeast coast of the U.S. and in the Gulf where it is taken incidentally in commercial fisheries (Dunham 1972; Knowlton 1972; McIlwain 1976). Although its distribution in estuaries is not well documented, *M. americanus* is considered estuarine-dependent (Gunter 1945) and uses all estuarine areas primarily as a nursery (McHugh 1967).

The life history of *M. americanus* is poorly known. Detailed studies have been made only along the southeast coast of the U.S. (Bearden 1963; Smith and Wenner 1985). Knowledge of this species in the Gulf is general and based chiefly on faunal studies, including Gunter (1945), Hildebrand (1954), Moore et al. (1970), Franks et al. (1972), and Christmas and Waller (1973); however, they are sometimes conflicting, e.g., widely different spawning seasons have been suggested (Welsh and Breder 1923; Hoese 1965; Miller 1965; Jaanke 1971).

This report describes maturation, spawning periodicity, bathymetric distribution, seasonal abundance, recruitment, size at age, maximum size, sex ratios, length-weight, length-girth, and length-length relationships of *Menticirrhus americanus* in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico.

METHODS

Menticirrhus americanus were collected along a transect off Freeport, Texas (Fig. 1) on 71 monthly or twice-monthly cruises from October 1977 to August 1981 aboard a chartered shrimp boat using 10.4 m (34 ft) trawls with 4.4 cm stretched mesh cod end and tickler chain. Stations were initially located at 9, 13, 16, 18, 22, 27, 36, and 47 m depths. This was expanded to include 5 and 24 m after November 1978 and 55, 64, 73, 82, 86, and 100 m after May 1979. Day collections were made through September 1978 with usually alternating day and night cruises in each month thereafter. Two 10-minute tows (bottom time) were made at each depth, except that only one tow was made at each depth prior to October 1978, usually 8 tows were made at 16 m, and 24 tows at 22 m.

All *M. americanus* were measured to the nearest millimeter in total length, fixed in 10% Formalin, and later preserved in 70% ethanol. After preservation the following data were taken on all fish captured from September 1979 to August 1981: total length (TL), standard length (SL), girth between first and second dorsal spine (G), total weight (TW), gonad weight (GW), sex, and ovary maturity stage. All sizes reported in this paper are mm TL. Size at maturity and/or spawning periodicity were determined from

1) maturity stages (Table 1) using a modification of Kesteven's system (Bagenal and Braum 1978);

2) length frequencies by maturity stage (Fig. 2) or cruise (Fig. 3);

3) gonadosomatic indices (GSI) calculated as GSI = 100 GW/TW; and

4) regressions of ovary weight on total length.

Age was determined from length frequencies using the Petersen Method (Lagler 1956). Spawned groups were specified by season and year hatched, e.g., Fall 1980 (Table 2, Fig. 3). Descriptions of spawning periodicity using length frequencies assume the following size-at-age combinations predicted from regressions of size on

[1]Present address: 43 Nonotuck Street, Northampton, MA 01060.
[2]Present address: Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, VA 23062.

age for Fall 1980 and Spring 1981 groups: 20-30 mm at 1 month, 50-60 mm at 3 months, and 100-130 mm at 6 months. These regressions were chosen because (1) the Spring 1981 group was the only clear spring-spawned cohort and (2) the Fall 1980 group clearly showed growth, was easily followed, and, unlike other Fall cohorts, all regression equations gave reasonably similar hatching dates and sizes at age.

Hatching dates used to set time scales for calculating growth were determined by a one-step iteration process following Standard and Chittenden (1984). Initial hatching dates of 1 February and 1 October were assigned to respective spring and fall groups to start the process. Data used in these regressions were based on early life periods when cohorts were most clearly identifiable, beginning when the groups appeared fully recruited, as evidenced by increasing size (growth), in successive collections (generally February-March and May for fall- and spring-spawned fish, respectively), and continuing until the group identity and/or boundaries became less certain (usually at 4-8 months after recruitment). Calculated hatching dates and spawning period duration are sensitive to the time intervals chosen because the slope of the line varied. Hatching dates, for example, were 1-2 years early when data included the period November-January when only the oldest, largest fish of a cohort had recruited. Linear equations were used for prediction if the quadratic term was not significant at $\alpha = 0.07$ or produced a curve unsuitable for growth analysis, i.e., upwardly concave with no x-intercept (Table 3, Fig. 4C).

Duration of the spawning period was approximated following Geoghegan and Chittenden (1982) as:

$$\frac{\text{Time-specific mean size range early in life}}{\text{Mean growth/day early in life}}$$

Calculations were based on May-August data for spring groups and February-May data for fall groups, months when they first appeared fully recruited. Time-specific size range was calculated for each group as the mean of the 99% confidence intervals for observations in these specific time periods (Table 4). Growth increments were estimated as the difference between mean lengths at the initial and final collections in these time periods predicted from regressions used to calculate hatching dates (Table 4). Mean growth/day was estimated as the growth increment divided by the time interval between initial and final collections.

Typical maximum length was approximated as a length (l_L) exceeded by only 0.5-1.0% of a catch (Standard and Chittenden 1984). Percent values were derived from a cumulative percent length-frequency curve for all fish captured from October 1977 to August 1981. Regression relationships were calculated following standard procedures in Snedecor and Cochran (1980). All length frequencies herein were smoothed by moving averages of three size intervals.

RESULTS

Maturation

Menticirrhus americanus in the northwestern Gulf mature at 150-220 mm, and males mature at a smaller size than females. Mean sizes of males were 10-40 mm less than females in each named stage after maturing virgin (Fig. 2, Table 5). No fish remained in the maturing virgin stage when greater than 250 mm, and few were greater than 220 mm in this stage. Gonad maturation was distinct at 130-150 mm as a few females and many males entered the early developing stage. Extrapolated x-intercepts and inflection points of regressions of gonad weight on total length for females were 180-230 mm (Fig. 5, Table 6). Most fish in the late developing through resting stages, particularly females, were greater than 200 mm (Fig. 2). Age compositions and sizes presented later indicate *M. americanus* mature to first spawn at 12-14 months.

Little or no somatic growth occurs after *M. americanus* enter later stages of maturation. Mean sizes for females were 258 mm in the late developing stage, 262 mm when gravid, 265 mm when ripe, 258 mm when spawing/spent, and 247 mm when resting (Fig. 2, Table 5). Mean sizes for males were 225 mm for the late developing stage, 222 mm for ripening/spawning, and 238 mm when resting. Maximum and minimum sizes and 99% confidence limits of observations also generally remained similar from the late developing through the resting stage for both sexes (Table 5). The broad 99% confidence interval for ripening/spawning males resulted from the small number of fish ($n = 2$) collected in this stage. Seemingly decreased size of females in the resting stage may reflect incomplete separation of resting and maturing virgin stages.

Spawning periodicity

Menticirrhus americanus spawn within a broad period from February or March through November. Females in the ripe, gravid, or spawning/spent stages, and/or with high GSI values, occurred in most calendar months throughout this period except September and October (Figs. 6, 7); fish in the late developing stage were collected in every calendar month except September. At least a few fish 40-60 mm and 2-3 months of age were collected in every calendar month except September and November (Fig. 3, see especially 1981); a few fish 60-80 mm and 3-4 months of age were collected in September and/or November of 1979 and 1980.

Little spawning of *M. americanus* apparently occurs in the period June-September. Few fish 40-80 mm and 2-4 months old were captured July-November, although fish this size, clearly part of an abundant group of recent recruits, were common or abundant in December-March most years and in late May through June 1981 (Fig. 3). High GSI values after April or May are based on only a few males or females, and mean values distinctly declined after that time (Fig. 7). That some spawning may occur in summer, however, is suggested by the presence of a few fish 40-80 mm during July to November, some labeled as Sm 79 (Fig. 3), and by the presence of a few females in the gravid and ripe stages or with high GSI values in the summer (Figs. 6, 7).

Although *M. americanus* spawn over a broad time period, spawning primarily occurs during two discrete periods: spring (January-April) and fall (August-November).

Spring—Modal groups of spring-spawned fish are readily followed in length frequencies (Fig. 3) from 19 May 1981, when fish 40-80 mm and 2-4 months of age recruited, through 6 August 1981 when they were 80-150 mm. Minor groups of fish 60-120 mm also appeared in June and early July of 1979 and 1980; they were apparently spring spawned because fish this size made up the clear Spring 81 cohort in June and July. Calculated hatching dates for the Spring 81 cohort, the only spring group that could be followed for any length of time, were 2 January, 8 March, or 20 April 1981, depending on the data included and whether linear or quadratic regression is used (Fig. 4; Table 3, Eq. 1, 2, 3). The first date may be too early because it includes one 40-mm fish collected on 2 March and may be biased towards an early date by the incomplete recruitment suggested by that one large, early hatched fish. Moreover, the linear equation it is based on explains much less variation in total length ($100r^2$ = 86.2%) than the linear ($100r^2$ = 92.7%) or quadratic ($100r^2$ = 98.4%) equations with the 2 March collection deleted (Table 3). Hatching dates are 8 March-20 April, regardless of the data set manipulated if the collection of 2 March is deleted. Gonadal data also indicate a spring spawning period: 1) mean GSI values of males and females rise to a peak in March and April 1980 and 1981 and decline thereafter (Fig. 7), and 2) slopes of the regressions of gonad weight on total length for females were at a maximum in the period February-April (Fig. 5, Table 6).

Fall—Fall-spawned cohorts initially recruit in abundance each year in the period December-February at 50-150 mm (Fig. 3), although their upper size boundaries may not be clear from then through February or March. Following this initial period, well defined modal groups of fall-spawned fish are readily followed in the period early April-July in 1979, mid February-late July or later in 1980, and mid March-early August in 1981; cohort mean sizes and observed size ranges were similar at comparable times each year (Table 2; Harding 1984, Appendix 1). Calculated hatching dates (Table 3) varied depending on the collections used, but generally occurred in the fall for the seemingly best data sets. Dates for the Fall 80 cohort, the clearest fall cohort with the best fitting regressions ($100r^2$ = 89-94%), were 11 August, 20 September, 3 October, and 5 November 1980 (Fig. 4, Table 3). Dates for the Fall 79 cohort were 3 June and 15 March 1978, and 17 June, 9 November, and 20 November of 1979; the former two equations explain only half the variation ($100r^2$ = 42-45%) of the latter three ($100r^2$ = 79-92%) and seem unrealistic because their hatching dates appear to be a year too early. The 17 June date, moreover, is based on linear regression and has a lower $100r^2$ value than the two remaining quadratic equations. Dates for the Fall 78 groups were 25 November 1977, 4 September 1976, and 5 August or 31 December 1978; the former two equations explain much less variation ($100r^2$ = 30-63%) than do the latter two ($100r^2$ = 73-87%), which may reflect incomplete recruitment in February, and seem unrealistic because their hatching dates appear to be 1-2 years too early.

Little or no spawning seemingly occurs in late fall or early winter during an interval of about 2-3 months duration between spring and fall spawning. This is suggested by the distinct gap between modes for Spring 81 and Fall 80 cohorts in late May and June of 1981 (Fig. 2). Differences between mean sizes of these cohorts were 98, 86, and 84 mm on 19 May, 7 June, and 16 June, respectively (Table 2), which suggests an interval of about 4-5 months between mean hatching dates.

Length-frequency and gonad data conflict on whether spring or fall spawning predominates; the former data suggests predominant fall spawning and the latter data indicates predominant spring spawning. As noted, mean and maximum GSI values for males and females rose to a peak in March and April and declined thereafter (Fig. 7), and slopes of the regressions of gonad weight on total length for female *Menticirrhus americanus* were at their greatest in the period February-April (Fig. 5, Table 6). However, mean and maximum GSI values were generally low in the fall (Fig. 7), and no ripe or gravid fish were captured in September and October (Fig. 6). In contrast, length frequencies show a clear and abundant spring-spawned group only in 1981 (Fig. 3); few spring-spawned fish were captured in 1979 and 1980. Fall-spawned fish recruited in abundance from December through February each year, and their modes are dominant and readily followed.

Spawning intensity is greatest over a 2-4 month period in spring and seemingly longer in fall. Calculated spawning period durations based on mean 99% confidence limits of observations and predicted growth/day for the Spring 81 group, the only usuable spring cohort, were 120, 68, and 75 days (Table 4). Spawning durations for fall groups varied depending on the data used and were more prolonged than for spring groups. Durations were 155-217 days for the Fall 80 group, 183-275 days for the Fall 79 group based on the three seemingly most realistic regressions (see above paragraph, also Table 3), and 139-174 days for the Fall 78 group (Table 4). Estimates of growth/day used to calculate spawning period durations are sensitive to data intervals used and considerations noted in the previous paragraph about most realistic regressions apply here also.

Bathymetric distribution and recruitment

Menticirrhus americanus occur at depths of less than 5 to 27 m in the northwest Gulf off Freeport. Catch per tow was greatest at 5 m, the shallowest depth occupied (Fig. 8). It decreased sharply between 5 and 13 m and then gradually to 27 m. Only 30 fish were captured in 124 tows at 24 m and 12 fish in 126 tows at 27 m. No fish were captured in 789 tows at 36 to 100 m.

Young-of-the-year recruit primarily at depths of 5-9 m if not shallower. Recent fall-spawned recruits 40-160 mm were abundant at 5-9 m in the December-March period (Fig. 9A, B); few of these fish less than 150 mm recruited at 13-16 m or deeper. Greatest recruitment was at 5 m, the shallowest depth occupied. Similarly, new spring-spawned recruits 30-110 mm were most abundant at 5-9 m in the period June-August and during May (Fig. 9C, D); few of these fish less than 100 mm were captured at 13-16 m or deeper.

Fall-spawned young-of-the-year gradually expand their bathymetric range. Although few fall-spawned recruits, and only larger ones, occurred deeper than 9 m in the period December-March, they were common at 13-16 m in the period April-May when they were 100-200 mm (Fig. 9C) and reached 18-27 m by the period July-August (Fig. 9D). Despite this offshore dispersal, fall-spawned fish remained most abundant at 5-9 m throughout their first year.

Larger, presumably older, fall-spawned young-of-the-year apparently lead the offshore dispersal. No fall-spawned recruits less than 100 mm were captured deeper than 9 m in the period December-May, with the exception of one 71-mm fish at 16 m in the period February-March and two fish 96 and 100 mm at 13 m in the period December-January (Fig. 9A, B, C). In contrast, presumably younger fish 50-100 mm were abundant at 5-9 m, a contrast that suggests offshore dispersal of larger, older fish after an inshore recruitment. This interpretaion is supported by the clear size gradient of fall-spawned recruits in the period February-March during which the smallest fish occurred at 5 m (Fig. 9B).

Age I and II fall-spawned fish are distributed throughout their bathymetric range without clear patterns of seasonal movement. Newly Age I fish were most abundant at 5-9 m depths during the period September-January and April-May (Fig. 9A, C, E); these fish were common to 16 m. Their abundance at 5 m was much lower in February-March and June-August compared to other depths at other periods. Large Age II fish appeared uniformly distributed throughout their depth range.

Age determination and growth

Few spawned groups of *M. americanus* exist at any one time in the northwest Gulf and only one or two normally predominate. No more than three spawned groups occurred in one month with the possible exception of groups on 10 June and 24 September 1979, 11 July 1980, and 19 May, 16 June, 22 July, and 6 August 1981, when spring- or summer-spawned individuals occurred along with the generally predominant fall cohorts (Fig. 3). Three fall-spawned groups often occurred in one month, these being young-of-the-year and Ages I and II, although age designations often may not be exact for the larger individuals, for example, on 4 and 19 May, 16 June, 22 July, and 6 and 18 August 1981 when only one or two fall groups generally predominated. Fall groups were generally most clear just after they began to recruit during December-February through the following September-October, when their boundaries became uncertain at modal lengths of about 170-190 mm and 10-14 months of age. Spring fish were clearly identifiable only in 1981, but even this cohort was readily followed only from 19 May through 6 August 1981 when they were 80-145 mm and 4-8 months old (Fig. 3). Spring-spawned fish evident in June, July, and August of 1979 and 1980 were negligible in abundance and did not persist.

Observed mean sizes of fall-spawned *M. americanus* were consistent between years. Mean sizes, based on averaging mean sizes at age over collections in the period September-November, were 138 mm at 6 months, and 193 and 272 mm at Ages I and II (Table 7). Mean lengths at Age I were 181 mm for Fall 77, 207 mm for Fall 78, 184 mm for Fall 79, and 200 mm for Fall 80 groups. Mean sizes at Age II were 270 mm for Fall 76, 285 mm for Fall 77, 262 mm for Fall 78, and 278 mm for Fall 79 (Table 7).

Maximum size, life span, and sex ratio

The typical maximum size attained by *M. americanus* in the northwest Gulf is 300-310 mm, although individuals may reach 450 mm. The largest fish we captured were 392 and 455 mm, although a preserved specimen did not exist to confirm the latter value. The largest male and female sexed were 303 and 345 mm, respectively. During October 1977 to August 1981, 99% of the 9,447 fish captured were less than 296 mm, and 99.5% were less than 308 mm (Fig. 10). Fish of 296-308 mm would seem to be typically only 2-3 years old at most, because mean size at Age II was 272 mm, with the mean upper 99% confidence limit about observations being 352 mm (Table 7).

Female *M. americanus* were more numerous than males. The observed sex ratio was 1.2 females to 1 male among 3,076 mature fish examined. This ratio differed significantly from 1:1 (χ^2 = 23.7, df = 1, α = 0.05).

Weight, girth, and length relationships

Regressions of total weight-total length, girth-total length, and standard length-total length are presented with supporting statistics in Table 8. Length-weight regressions were not significantly different in slope between sexes (F = 0.57; df = 1, 3141; α = 0.05), but they differed in elevation (F = 25.8; df = 1, 3142; α = 0.05). Regression slopes for males and females differed significantly from immatures (F = 68.8; df = 1, 5762; α = 0.05). However, pooled regressions are presented for males and females and for males, females, and immatures, because they may be more useful in stock assessment than individual regressions. For simplicity, pooled regressions are presented for length-length and length-girth relationships.

Length-weight regression slopes for mature and immature fish significantly exceeded a hypothesized β = 3.0 (matures: t = 69.9, df = 3143, α = 0.05; immatures: t = 18.12, df = 2554, α = 0.05) indicating allometric growth for both groups.

DISCUSSION

Maturation

Little information is available on maturation of *M. americanus*. Our finding that males and females in the northwest Gulf mature at 150-220 mm at Age I agrees with sizes of 135 and 192 mm in the South Atlantic Bight at age I (Smith and Wenner 1985; Hildebrand and Cable 1934). Bearden (1963) believed males matured at 240 mm TL (195 mm SL) at Age II and females at 280-300 mm TL (230-250 mm SL) at Age II-III, but Smith and Wenner felt Bearden collected primarily at estuarine stations which lacked smaller, mature, Age I fish. The smallest "ripe" fish (Irwin 1970) obtained off Louisiana were a 260 mm TL (215 mm SL) male and a 265 mm TL (218 mm SL) female, but he did not define "ripe."

Spawning periodicity

The broad spawning period of February or March through November that we found for *M. americanus* agrees with many other studies in the Gulf and Atlantic. However, the literature on spawning periodicity conflicts and has been interpreted in a variety of ways, including (1) a broad spawning period in the Gulf of fall and/or winter through spring (Welsh and Breder 1923; Miller 1965; Jaanke 1971) or (2) a broad spawning period of spring through late summer or fall in the Gulf (Gunter 1945; Hoese 1965; Moe and Martin 1965; Christmas and Waller 1973) and along the Atlantic coast north and south of Cape Hatteras (Pearson 1941; Bearden 1963; Smith and Wenner 1985). Peak spawning, moreover, has been interpreted to occur in a variety of ways, including (1) a peak in spring or early summer in the Gulf (Reid 1954; Springer and Woodburn 1960) and along the Atlantic coast north and south of Cape Hatteras (Hildebrand and Schroeder 1928; Hildebrand and Cable 1934; Bearden 1963) and (2) a peak in the fall along the Atlantic coast north of Cape Hatteras (Welsh and Breder 1923). In reality, it appears that *M. americanus* in the gyre of the northwestern Gulf (Kelly et al. 1984) at least, has a complex spawning periodicity and life history, described below, which has not been recognized because few studies have been made in detail on this species and/or few have had the frequent sampling over such a long duration of time as ours.

Our finding that spawning occurs in two primary discrete periods, spring and fall, has generally not been recognized. However, Welsh

and Breder (1923) suggested peak spawning in spring and fall along the southeast Atlantic coast off Fernandina, Florida, although Hildebrand and Cable (1934) and Smith and Wenner (1985) suggested this might reflect insufficient collecting in the intervening summer months. That criticism, however, can not be applied to our study. Our finding of peak spawning in discrete spring and fall periods, moreover, is similar to recent findings that several other fishes also spawn with this periodicity in the northwestern Gulf, including *Peprilus burti* (Murphy 1981; Murphy and Chittenden unpubl.), *Cynoscion arenarius* (Shlossman and Chittenden 1981), *C. nothus* (DeVries and Chittenden 1982), *Fundulus grandis* (Waas and Strawn 1983), and *Larimus fasciatus* (Standard and Chittenden 1984). This occurs in a variety of families and may represent a broad phenomenon in the Gulf (Murphy and Chittenden unpubl.).

Standard and Chittenden (1984), based on Murphy and Chittenden (unpubl.), suggested a hydrographic basis for spring and fall spawning peaks in *P. burti*, *C. nothus*, and *L. fasciatus* which probably applies also to *C. arenarius* and *M. americanus*, both of which have pelagic eggs and larvae (Johnson 1978): spawning is timed to coincide with the periodicity of downcoast alongshore currents (toward Mexico). These currents probably transport pelagic eggs and larvae "downstream" to nurseries in the northwest Gulf from spawning grounds located "upstream" in or toward the north central Gulf. Reversed current transport mechanisms in the summer (Temple and Martin 1979) would carry pelagic eggs and larvae offshore, which presumably would be unfavorable to *M. americanus*, or toward the north central Gulf. The latter possibility could explain the apparent absence of summer spawning in length frequencies in *M. americanus* and other species. However, *M. americanus* is abundant in summer off Texas at Port Aransas (Gunter 1945; Miller 1965), in the Padre Island surf (Chittenden pers. observ.), and off the jetties of Brownsville (Standard pers. observ.) based on angling. Presumably, summer-spawned individuals from these locations would be transported upcoast to Freeport where our study was made unless (1) transport occurs over even greater distances towards the north central Gulf or (2) summer spawning is truly negligible.

We have interpreted spawning of *Menticirrhus americanus* as occurring in two main periods. However, spot (*Leiostomus xanthurus*) spawn in one discrete period but recruit to the Gulf in two widely speparate periods (Hata 1985). It is possible that this pattern could apply to *Menticirrhus americanus*, because our collections were made towards the deeper part of its bathymetric range. Time-frequent collections in estuaries, the surf zone, and/or shallow Gulf inshore of our collections would resolve whether *Menticirrhus americanus* has two discrete spawning periods as we have suggested or possibly one spawning period (presumably late winter and early spring based on gonad data) with two periods of recruitment.

Bathymetric distribution and recruitment

Menticirrhus americanus is essentially an inshore species of the white shrimp community described by Hildebrand (1954) and Chittenden and McEachran (1976). We found that it occupies a primary depth range of 5-27 m off Texas, which agrees with other studies done in the Gulf (Miller 1965; Moe and Martin 1965; Chittenden and McEachran 1976) and the Atlantic (Hildebrand and Cable 1934; Bearden 1963; Smith and Wenner 1985). Although we captured no *M. americanus* deeper than 27 m, they have been reported as deep as 36-45 m off Mississippi and Texas (Irwin 1970; Hildebrand 1954) and to 54 m off South Carolina (Bearden 1963).

We found that the gradual dispersal offshore after inshore recruitment and size gradient with depth (fish <160 mm shallower than 9 m; fish >200 mm at 13-27 m) agrees with Hildebrand (1954) who found only fish greater than 200 mm TL at 22-32 m off Texas, and with Irwin (1970) who found fish less than 185 mm TL (150 mm SL) in depths shallower than 16 m but larger fish to 23 m depths off Mississippi.

Our finding that young *M. americanus* recruit primarily in inshore areas in the Gulf (5-9 m when 40-160 mm TL) agrees with Miller (1965) who captured them at 5-8 m off Port Aransas, Texas. This species also recruits to the Gulf in the same general periods (May-June and December-February) as several other Gulf fishes that spawn in two periods a year, such as *P. burti*, *C. arenarius*, and *L. fasciatus* (Murphy 1981; Shlossman and Chittenden 1981; Standard and Chittenden 1984).

Age determination and growth

Age determination of *M. americanus* is feasible using length frequencies. However, as in our study, collections must be made frequently in time and over a long duration, because boundaries of age groups and age designations are not clear every month, especially for larger individuals. Despite that problem, age designation and group boundaries are quite clear in certain months (for examples, the Spring 81 and Fall 80 groups in May and June 1981, the Fall 79 group in April 1979). From the clear groups, one may work chronologically backward or forward in time and gradually assign age designation and boundaries with reasonable certainty. Age determination by length frequencies in *M. americanus* is similar in these respects to *P. burti* (Murphy 1981), *C. arenarius* (Shlossman and Chittenden 1981), and *C. nothus* (DeVries and Chittenden 1982), all of which appear to spawn over a broad period of time but in two discrete major spring and late summer or fall periods. In contrast, age determination by length frequency is simple and very clear in other species of Gulf shrimp communities such as *Micropogonias undulatus* (White and Chittenden 1977; Chittenden unpubl. data), *Stenotomus caprinus* (Geoghegan and Chittenden 1982), *L. fasciatus* (Standard and Chittenden 1984), and *Polydactylus octonemus* (Dentzau 1985), all of which spawn in one discrete period each year (*Micropogonias* and *Stenotomus*) or spawn in one major and one very minor spring and/or fall period, such as *Larimus* and *Polydactylus*. Therefore, it appears the simplicity of age determination via length frequencies may suggest life history patterns: those shrimp community fishes for which this procedure is difficult may have a complex life history and multiple major spawning periods; those for which it is simple may have one discrete major spawning period, with or without a second minor period.

The limited literature on growth of *M. americanus* is mostly for the Atlantic coast. Mean sizes of fish we captured at 6 months and Age I (138 and 193 mm, respectively) agree with mean sizes reported throughout this species range [125 mm TL = 100 mm SL at 6 months, and 185-200 mm TL = 150-160 mm SL at Age I off South Carolina (Bearden 1963); 145 mm TL = 117 mm SL at 5-6 months off Tampa Bay, Florida (Springer and Woodburn 1960); 160 mm TL at Age I off New Jersey (Welsh and Breder 1923); 170 mm TL at Age I at Fernandina, Florida (Welsh and Breder 1923); 170-180 mm TL at Age I in the South Atlantic Bight (Smith and Wenner 1985); 180-220 mm TL at Age I off North Carolina (Hildebrand and Cable 1934)]. The mean size of fish we captured at Age II (272 mm TL) agrees with sizes of 270-280 mm TL (220-230 mm SL) reported by Bearden (1963) but is a little larger than those reported by Welsh and Breder (1923) off New Jersey (250 mm TL) and Smith and Wenner (1985) (220-240 mm TL). The latter authors reported mean sizes of 260 mm TL at Age

III and felt Bearden's size-at-age estimates, which agree with ours, were too large.

Sex ratio and maximum size

Sex ratios of *M. americanus* have not been reported. Smith and Wenner's (1985) data give a ratio of 1.48 females to each male in the South Atlantic Bight, while we found a 1.2:1 ratio.

The 392 and 455 mm maximum sizes we found for *M. americanus* off Texas are much greater than those in other Gulf studies, where maxima were 330 mm (Franks et al. 1972), 320 mm (Hildebrand 1954), and 318 mm (Gunter 1945). However, maximum lengths reported by these authors are similar to the typical maximum sizes of 300-310 mm we found. Typical maximum sizes in the Gulf appear similar to those in the South Atlantic Bight where Smith and Wenner (1985) found 99% were less than 300 mm and 90% less than 230 mm (we found 90% less than 233 mm). The largest fish reported in the Gulf and South Atlantic Bight have been 405 mm (Hammond and Cupka 1977), 404 mm (Smith and Wenner 1985), and 392 and 455 mm (this study), although no specimen was found to confirm the 455 mm fish and it may be an error. If this indeed represents an error, a 419 mm specimen from the Chesapeake region (Hildebrand and Schroeder 1928) is the largest record and may reflect the zoogeographic variation in population dynamics near Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, suggested by White and Chittenden (1977), Murphy (1981), Shlossman and Chittenden (1981), and Geoghegan and Chittenden (1982).

General discussion

Our studies suggest *M. americanus* is a species of small size, early age at maturity, short life span (t_L = 2-3 year), and high total annual mortality rates (theoretically 80-90% for a 2-3 year life span from the procedure of Royce 1972: 238). These attributes are similar to those of other members of the white shrimp community, such as *Micropogonias undulatus* (White and Chittenden 1977), *Peprilus burti* (Murphy 1981), *Cynoscion arenarius* (Shlossman and Chittenden 1981), *C. nothus* (DeVries and Chittenden 1982), and *Larimus fasciatus* (Standard and Chittenden 1984). This lends further support to the suggestion (Chittenden and McEachran 1976; Chittenden 1977) that members of this community have a common pattern of population dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to express our thanks to former students and members of the Bryan Mound nekton project: R. Baker, M. Burton, T. Crawford, V. Fay, T. Fehrman, P. Geoghegan, R. Grobe, D. Hata, M. Murphy, J. Pavela, M. Rockett, J. Ross, P. Shlossman, B. Slingerland, G. Standard, and H. Yette. Special thanks to R. Case, M. Cuenco, and J. Cummings for their computer programming assistance, and Captains H. Forrester, M. Forrester, R. Forrester, D. Peavy, P. Smirch, and A. Smircic for their skills in seamanship. J. McEachran and L. Ringer reviewed drafts.

This report is based on a thesis submitted by the senior author in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master of Science degree at Texas A&M University. Financial support was provided, in part, by the Texas Agricultural Experimental Station; the Strategic Petroleum Reserve Program, Department of Energy; and by the Texas A&M Sea Grant College Program, supported by the NOAA Office of Sea Grant, U.S. Department of Commerce.

CITATIONS

BAGENAL, T. B., and E. BRAUM.
1978. Eggs and early life history. *In* T. B. Bagenal (editor), Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters, 3d ed., p. 166-198. Blackwell Sci. Publ., Oxford.

BEARDEN, C. W.
1963. A contribution to the biology of the king whiting, genus *Menticirrhus* of South Carolina. Contrib. Bears Bluff Lab. 38:1-27.

CHITTENDEN, M. E., Jr.
1977. Simulations of the effects of fishing on the Atlantic croaker, *Micropogon undulatus*. Proc. Gulf Caribb. Fish. Inst. 29th Annu. Sess., p. 68-86.

CHITTENDEN, M. E., Jr., and J. D. McEACHRAN.
1976. Composition, ecology, and dynamics of dermersal fish communities on the northwestern Gulf of Mexico continental shelf, with a similar synopsis for the entire Gulf. Texas A&M Univ. Sea Grant Publ. TAMU-SG-76-208, 104 p.

CHRISTMAS, J. Y., and R. S. WALLER.
1973. Estuarine vertebrates, Missìssippi. *In* J. Y. Christmas (editor), Cooperative Gulf of Mexico estuarine inventory and study, Mississippi, p. 320-434. Gulf Coast Res. Lab., Ocean Springs, MS.

DENTZAU, M.
1985. Aspects of the life history of the Atlantic threadfin, *Polydactylus octonemus*. M.S. Thesis, Texas A&M Univ., 68 p.

DeVRIES, D. A., and M. E. CHITTENDEN, Jr.
1982. Spawning, age determination, longevity, and mortality of the silver seatrout, *Cynoscion nothus* in the Gulf of Mexico. Fish. Bull., U.S. 80:487-500.

DUNHAM, F.
1972. A study of commercially important estuarine-dependent industrial fishes. La. Wildl. Fish. Comm. Tech. Bull. 4:1-63.

FRANKS, J. S., J. Y. CHRISTMAS, W. L. SILER, R. COMBS, R. WALLER, and C. BURNS.
1972. A study of nektonic and benthic faunas of the shallow Gulf of Mexico off the state of Mississippi as related to some physical, chemical and geological factors. Gulf Res. Rep. 4:1-148.

GEOGHEGAN, P., and M. E. CHITTENDEN. Jr.
1982. Reproduction, movements, and population dynamics of the longspine porgy, *Stenotomus caprinus*. Fish. Bull., U.S. 80:523-540.

GUNTER, G.
1945. Studies on marine fishes of Texas. Publ. Inst. Mar. Sci., Univ. Tex. 1:1-190.

HAMMOND, D. L., and D. M. CUPKA.
1977. An economic and biological evaluation of the South Carolina pier fishery. S.C. Wildl. Mar. Res. Dep., Mar. Res. Cent. Tech. Rep. 20, 14 p.

HARDING, S. M.
1984. Reproduction, movements, and life history aspects of the southern kingfish, *Menticirrhus americanus* in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. M.S. Thesis, Texas A&M Univ., 102 p.

HATA, D. N.
1985. Aspects of the life history and population dynamics of the spot, *Leiostomas xanthurus*, in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. M.S. Thesis, Texas A&M Univ., 87 p.

HILDEBRAND, H. H.
1954. A study of the fauna of the brown shrimp (*Penaeus aztecus*, Ives) grounds in the western Gulf of Mexico. Publ. Inst. Mar. Sci., Univ. Tex. 3:229-366.

HILDEBRAND, S. F., and L. E. CABLE.
1934. Reproduction and development of whiting or kingfishes, drums, spot, croaker, and weakfishes or seatrouts, family Sciaenidae, of the Atlantic coast of the Unites States. Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish. 48:41-117.

HILDEBRAND, S. F., and W. C. SCHROEDER.
1928. Fishes of Chesapeake Bay. Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish. 43:1-366.

HOESE, H. D.
1965. Spawning of marine fishes in the Port Aransas, Texas area as determined by the distribution of young and larvae. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Texas, Austin, 144 p.

IRWIN, R.
1970. Geographical variation, systematics, and general biology of shore fishes of the genus *Menticirrhus*, family Sciaenidae. Ph.D. Thesis, Tulane Univ., New Orleans, 295 p.

JAANKE, T. E.
1971. Abundance of young sciaenid fishes in Everglades National Park, Florida, in relation to season and other variables. Univ. Miami Sea Grant Tech. Bull. 11, 128 p.

JOHNSON, G. D.
1978. Development of fishes of the mid-Atlantic Bight, an atlas of egg, larval and juvenile stages, Volume IV. Carangidae through Ephippidae. U.S. Fish. Wildl. Serv., Biol. Serv. Progr., FWS/OBS-78/12, 314 p.

KELLY, F. J., Jr., J. E. SCHMITZ, R. E. RANDALL, and J. D. COCHRANE.
1984. Physical oceanography. *In*: R. W. Hann, Jr., C. P. Giammona, and R. E. Randall (editors), Offshore oceanographic and environmental monitoring services for the strategic petroleum reserve: Annual report for the Bryan Mound Site from September 1982 through August 1983. Dep. Energy, DOE/P010150-2. (Avail. through NTIS, Springfield, Va.)

KNOWLTON, C. J.
1972. Fishes taken during commercial shrimp fishing in Georgia's close inshore ocean waters. Ga. Game Fish Comm., Coast. Fish. Off. Contrib. 21:1-42.

LAGLER, K. F.
1956. Freshwater fishery biology, 2d ed., Wm. C. Brown Co., Dubuque, Iowa, 421 p.

McHUGH, J. L.
1967.Estuarine nekton. *In*: G. H. Lauff (editor), Estuaries, p. 581-620. Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci. Publ. 83.

McILWAIN, T. D.
1976. Saltwater angling in Mississippi. J. Miss. Acad. Sci. 21(suppl.), 85 p.

MILLER, J. M.
1965. A trawl survey of the shallow Gulf fishes near Port Aransas, Texas. Publ. Inst. Mar. Sci., Univ. Tex. 10:80-107.

MOE, J. M., and G. T. MARTIN.
1965. Fishes taken in monthly trawl samples offshore of Pinellas County, Florida with new additions to the fish fauna of the Tampa Bay area. Tul. Stud. Zool. 12:129-151.

MOORE, D., H. A. BRUSHER, and L. TRENT.
1970. Relative abundance, seasonal distribution, and species composition of demersal fishes off Louisiana and Texas 1962-1964. Contrib. Mar. Sci. 15:45-70.

MURPHY, M. D.
1981. Aspects of the life history of the gulf butterfish, *Peprilus burti*. M.S. Thesis, Texas A&M Univ., 77 p.

MURPHY, M. D., and M. E. CHITTENDEN, Jr.
Unpubl. Reproduction, movements, and population dynamics of the gulf butterfish, *Peprilus burti*. Mar. Res. Lab., Fla. Dep. Nat. Resour., 100 Eighth Ave. S.E., St. Petersburg, FL 33701, 66 p.

PEARSON, J. C.
1941. The young of some marine fishes taken in lower Chesapeake Bay, Virginia, with special reference to the gray seatrout, *Cynoscion regalis* (Bloch). U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Fish. Bull. 50:79-102.

REID, G. K.
1954. An ecological study of the Gulf of Mexico fishes in the vicinity of Cedar Key, Florida. Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf Caribb. 4:1-94.

RICKER, W. E.
1973. Linear regressions in fishery research. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 30:409-434.

ROYCE, W. F.
1972. Introduction to the fishery sciences. Acad. Press, N.Y., 351 p.

SCHAEFER, R. H.
1965. First record of southern kingfish (*M. americanus*) from Long Island, N.Y. N.Y. Fish Game J. 12:112-113.

SHLOSSMAN, P. A., and M. E. CHITTENDEN, Jr.
1981. Reproduction, movements, and population dynamics of the sand seatrout, *Cynoscion arenarius*. Fish. Bull., U.S. 649-669.

SMITH, J. W., and C. A. WENNER.
1985. Biology of the southern kingfish in the South Atlantic Bight. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 114:356-366.

SNEDECOR, G. W., and W. G. COCHRAN.
1980. Statistical methods, 7th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, 507 p.

SPRINGER, V. G., and K. D. WOODBURN.
1960. An ecological study of the fishes of the Tampa Bay area. Fla. Board Conserv. Mar. Lab. Prof. Pap., Ser. 1, 104 p.

STANDARD, G. W., and M. E. CHITTENDEN, Jr.
1984. Reproduction, movements, and population dynamics of the banded drum, *Larimus fasciatus*, in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Fish. Bull., U.S. 82: 337-363.

TEMPLE, R. F., and J. A. MARTIN.
1979. Surface circulation in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico as deduced from drift bottles. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS SSRF-730, U.S. Dep. Commer., 13 p.

WAAS, B. P., and K. STRAWN.
1983. Seasonal and lunar cycles in gonadosomatic indices and spawning readiness of *Fundulus grandis*. Contrib. Mar. Sci. 26:127-141.

WELSH, W. W., and C. M. BREDER.
1923. Contributions to life histories of Sciaenidae of the eastern United States coast. Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish. 39:141-201.

WHITE, M. L., and M. E. CHITTENDEN, Jr.
1977. Age determination, reproduction, and population dynamics of the Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulatus*. Fish. Bull., U.S. 75:109-123.



Figure 1—Location of sampling area. Station depths and bathymetric contours are in meters.



Figure 2—Length frequencies of male and female *Menticirrhus americanus* by maturity stage. See Table 1 for maturity stage criteria.



Figure 3—Monthly length frequencies of *Menticirrhus americanus* off Freeport, Texas, October 1977-August 1981. Day and night cruises are indicated by D and N.


24 Jul 80 D
n=30
15 Aug 80 N
n=267
11 Sep 80 N
n=14
25 Sep 80 D
n=79
6 Oct 80 N
n=15
21 Oct 80 D
n=70
3 Nov 80 N
n=52
18 Nov 80 D
n=2
1 Dec 80 N
n=137
15 Dec 80 D
n=81
7 Jan 81 N
n=298
21 Jan 81 D
n=82
2 Feb 81 N
n=200
16 Feb 81 D
n=204
16 Feb 81 D
n=204
2 Mar 81 N
n=101
16 Mar 81 D
n=60
7 Apr 81 N
n=125
20 Apr 81 D
n=58
4 May 81 N
n=188
19 May 81 D
n=129
7 Jun 81 N
n=243
16 Jun 81 D
n=172
1 Jul 81 N
n=83
22 Jul 81 D
n=77
6 Aug 81 N
n=155
18 Aug 81 D
n=91
0
100
200
300
400
500



Figure 4—Regressions of total length (mm) on age (days) used to calculate hatching dates for spring and fall groups of *Menticirrhus americanus* off Freeport, Texas. All regressions were significant at $\alpha = 0.07$ or higher, and collections used are summarized in Table 3.



Figure 5—Regressions of gonad weight (g) on total length (mm) by month for female *Menticirrhus americanus*, October 1977-August 1981. Regression statistics are presented in Table 6.



Figure 6—Monthly maturity stage compositions for female *Menticirrhus americanus*. Maturity stages (see Table 1): 1 = Immature; 2 = Maturing virgin; 3 = Early developing; 4 = Late developing; 5 = Gravid; 6 = Ripe; 7 = Spawning/Spent; 8 = Resting.



Figure 7—Mean gonadosomatic indices, ranges, and 95% confidence intervals by cruise for *Menticirrhus americanus* in early developing and later maturity stages. Sample sizes are depicted for each cruise.



Figure 8—Mean catch per tow (number of individuals) by depth for *Menticirrhus americanus* off Freeport, Texas, each year and pooled, October 1977-August 1981.



Figure 9—Length frequencies and catch per unit effort (C/f, expressed as mean number of individuals per 10-min tow) by depth for *Menticirrhus americanus* off Freeport, Texas, October 1977-August 1981. Data were pooled over the 4-year period because length frequencies within listed periods were similar each year. Designated ages are for fall-spawned fish except where noted as spring (S). Age designation for each fall cohort changed after August.



Figure 10—Length frequencies and cumulative percentage of all *Menticirrhus americanus* collected off Freeport, Texas, October 1977-August 1981.

Table 1—Maturity stages assigned to male and female *Menticirrhus americanus*. Gravid, ripe, and spawning/spent stages were difficult to distinguish in males and were pooled.

Stage	Description	Stage	Description
	MALES		FEMALES
1. Immature	Sex undetermined. Testes very small or indistinguishable.	1. Immature	Sex undetermined. Ovaries very small or indistinguishable.
2. Maturing virgin	Testes translucent-red, occupy less than 10% of body cavity.	2. Maturing virgin	Sex determined. Ovaries very small, no eggs visible to naked eye.
3. Early developing	Testes opaque to grey, occupy 10-15% of body cavity.	3. Early developing	Ovaries orange-yellow, occupy up to 25% of body cavity. Eggs opaque, visible to naked eye.
4. Late developing	Testes pale yellow, occupy 15-25% of body cavity.	4. Late developing	Ovaries orange-yellow, occupy 25-50% of body cavity. All eggs opaque.
5-7. Ripening/Spawning	Stages not readily separated. Testes pale yellow, occupy 25% or more of body cavity. Edges of testes crenulated.	5. Gravid	Ovaries occupy 50% or more of body cavity. Up to half the eggs translucent.
8. Resting	Testes greyish, reduced in size, occupy less than 10% of body cavity.	6. Ripe	Ovaries occupy 50% or more of body cavity. Over half the eggs translucent.
		7. Spawning/Spent	Ovaries pale and flaccid, completely or partially empty.
		8. Resting	Ovaries small and flaccid, appearing in fish large enough to have already spawned.

Table 2—Growth data by spawned group for *Menticirrhus americanus* from the Gulf off Freeport, Texas. Night and day cruises are indicated by N or D. Observed size ranges correspond to those indicated by horizontal bars in Figure 3 and define group boundaries used in growth calculations. Age is scaled to the hatching date derived from indicated equation numbers corresponding to those in Figure 4 and Table 3.

Collection date	n	Observed size range (mm)	$\bar{x}$	s^2	95% limits on means	99% limits on observations	Age (days) and hatching date		
Spring 1979									
15 May 79 D	1	79	79.0	0.0	—	—			
10 Jun 79 N	3	62-73	68.0	31.0	54.2-81.8	12.7-123.3			
9 Jul 79 N	11	66-116	90.1	298.9	78.5-101.7	35.3-144.9			
22 Aug 79 D	1	73	73.0	0.0	—	—			
24 Sep 79 N	4	69-116	95.8	494.9	60.4-131.2	−34.1-225.7			
Spring 1980									
11 Jul 80 N	1	80	80.0	0.0	—	—			
13 Aug 80 N	2	60-68	64.0	32.0	13.2-114.8	−296.1-424.1			
Spring 1981							EQUATION		
							1	2	3
2 Mar 81 N	1	44	44.0	0.0	—	—	59	—	—
19 May 81 D	14	38-81	56.9	102.4	51.1-62.7	26.4-87.4	137	72	29
7 Jun 81 N	109	26-103	76.4	214.2	73.6-79.2	37.9-114.9	156	91	48
16 Jun 81 D	44	65-117	91.5	142.8	87.9-95.1	59.3-123.7	165	100	57
1 Jul 81 N	28	96-124	108.7	56.7	105.8-111.6	87.8-129.6	180	115	72
22 Jul 81 D	8	102-138	122.9	170.4	112.0-133.8	77.2-168.6	201	136	93
6 Aug 81 N	93	84-145	121.6	167.5	118.9-124.3	87.6-155.6	216	151	108
18 Aug 81 D	5	98-115	104.8	71.7	94.3-115.3	65.8-143.8	2 Jan	8 Mar	20 Apr
Fall 1976									
8 May 78 D	1	295	295.0	0.0	—	—			
12 Oct 78 N	1	271	271.0	0.0	—	—			
30 Nov 78 N	23	237-308	264.7	410.0	255.9-273.5	207.6-321.8			
19 Dec 78 D	10	255-348	283.1	840.8	252.4-303.8	188.9-377.3			
24 Feb 79 D	4	247-294	268.0	558.0	230.4-305.6	130.0-406.0			
14 Mar 79 N	1	291	291.0	0.0	—	—			
Fall 1977									
14 Apr 78 D	6	111-208	154.7	1186.7	118.5-190.9	15.8-293.6			
8 May 78 D	16	133-215	178.2	498.4	166.3-190.1	112.4-244.0			
15 Sep 78 D	1	181	181.0	0.0	—	—			
12 Oct 78 N	3	167-191	182.3	177.3	149.2-215.4	50.1-314.5			
30 Nov 78 N	261	146-221	178.9	229.9	177.1-180.7	129.0-228.3			
19 Dec 78 D	132	163-225	186.0	242.0	183.3-188.7	145.9-226.1			
24 Feb 79 D	86	170-224	193.6	186.0	190.7-196.5	157.7-229.5			
14 Mar 79 N	14	200-241	213.6	106.7	207.6-219.6	182.5-244.7			
5 Apr 79 N	82	176-278	200.2	399.6	196.8-204.6	147.5-252.9			
20 Apr 79 D	115	181-267	208.6	363.5	205.1-212.1	158.6-258.6			
15 May 79 N	98	185-281	219.8	425.4	215.7-223.9	165.6-274.0			
10 Jun 79 N	106	201-279	233.9	356.0	230.3-237.5	184.4-283.4			
24 Jun 79 D	9	212-256	235.7	192.5	225.0-246.4	189.2-282.2			
9 Jul 79 N	8	218-274	239.0	348.0	237.7-240.3	173.8-304.3			
22 Aug 79 D	1	262	262.0	0.0	—	—			
24 Sep 79 D	4	262-284	276.0	92.7	260.7-291.3	219.8-332.2			
5 Oct 79 N	4	262-287	274.0	108.7	257.4-290.6	213.0-334.9			
19 Oct 79 D	4	268-305	284.0	244.7	259.2-308.9	192.6-375.4			
6 Nov 79 N	3	271-305	285.3	310.3	241.6-329.0	110.5-460.1			
18 Nov 79 D	2	300-308	304.0	32.0	253.2-354.8	−56.1-664.1			
4 Dec 79 N	3	287-300	293.7	42.3	277.5-309.9	229.1-358.3			
14 Dec 79 D	3	282-332	304.7	641.3	241.8-367.6	53.4-556.0			
3 Jan 80 N	5	274-300	286.2	142.2	271.4-301.0	231.3-341.1			
16 Jan 80 D	11	273-311	287.6	135.7	279.8-295.4	250.7-324.5			
4 Feb 80 N	9	266-317	283.1	345.6	268.8-297.4	220.7-345.5			
15 Feb 80 D	5	264-349	293.4	1150.8	251.3-335.5	137.2-449.6			
8 Mar 80 N	1	311	311.0	0.0	—	—			
20 Mar 80 D	1	317	317.0	0.0	—	—			
1 Apr 80 N	6	299-331	311.2	163.8	297.8-324.6	259.6-362.8			
16 Apr 80 D	1	323	323.0	0.0	—	—			
5 May 80 N	1	312	312.0	0.0	—	—			
2 Jun 80 N	1	318	318.0	0.0	—	—			
11 Jul 80 N	11	344	344.0	0.0	—	—			
Fall 1978									
30 Nov 78 N	117	45-145	107.7	631.9	103.1-112.3	41.8-173.6			
19 Dec 78 D	162	78-158	126.8	321.4	124.0-129.6	91.6-161.9	EQUATION		
24 Feb 79 D	58	102-162	140.5	231.2	136.5-144.5	100.0-181.0	1		2
5 Apr 79 N	268	67-175	129.9	378.7	127.6-132.3	78.8-180.0	243		95
20 Apr 79 D	341	80-180	142.8	335.7	140.5-144.3	95.2-189.6	258		110

Table 2—(Continued).

Collection date	n	Observed size range (mm)	$\bar{x}$	s^2	95% limits on means	99% limits on observations	Age (days) and hatching date	
							EQUATION	
							1	2
Fall 1978 (Continued)								
15 May 79 N	400	92-179	138.2	348.9	136.4-140.0	90.1-186.3	283	135
10 Jun 79 N	82	131-198	167.8	227.1	164.5-171.1	128.1-207.5	309	161
24 Jun 79 D	6	160-190	173.7	108.3	162.8-184.6	131.7-215.7	323	175
9 Jul 79 N	186	125-195	156.0	162.4	154.2-157.8	123.2-188.8	—	190
22 Jul 79 D	13	131-192	162.8	348.5	151.5-174.1	105.8-219.8	—	203
22 Aug 79 N	34	120-212	146.1	577.8	137.7-154.5	80.2-212.0	—	234
24 Sep 79 D	122	144-243	186.4	466.3	182.5-190.3	129.8-242.9	5 Aug	31 Dec
5 Oct 79 N	42	125-238	192.2	808.9	183.3-201.1	115.4-269.0		
19 Oct 79 D	16	144-245	212.1	961.3	195.6-228.6	120.7-303.5		
6 Nov 79 N	38	151-251	210.8	1025.2	200.3-221.3	124.0-297.6		
18 Nov 79 D	9	195-258	234.0	472.0	217.3-250.7	161.1-306.9		
4 Dec 79 N	17	155-255	208.1	994.2	191.9-224.3	116.0-300.2		
14 Dec 79 D	20	163-251	207.1	862.7	202.6-211.6	123.5-290.7		
3 Jan 80 N	58	175-266	225.0	717.5	218.0-232.0	153.6-296.4		
16 Jan 80 D	68	183-265	224.9	441.8	219.8-230.0	169.2-280.6		
4 Feb 80 N	67	167-264	215.9	623.2	209.8-222.0	149.7-282.1		
15 Feb 80 D	56	171-247	210.7	446.6	205.0-216.3	154.3-267.1		
8 Mar 80 N	56	180-287	224.2	666.3	217.3-231.1	155.3-293.1		
20 Mar 80 D	23	195-292	236.7	1103.8	235.5-237.9	143.0-330.4		
1 Apr 80 N	30	176-284	221.7	842.1	210.9-232.5	141.7-301.7		
16 Apr 80 D	38	204-281	236.5	298.4	229.2-243.8	175.9-297.1		
5 May 80 N	28	193-288	228.7	573.8	219.4-238.0	162.3-295.1		
19 May 80 D	16	193-300	247.6	1086.6	230.0-265.2	150.5-344.7		
2 Jun 80 N	32	212-300	244.9	541.0	236.5-253.3	181.0-308.8		
19 Jun 80 D	2	228-238	233.0	50.0	169.5-296.5	−217.1-683.1		
11 Jul 80 N	21	206-277	243.7	381.3	234.8-252.6	188.1-299.3		
13 Aug 80 N	11	205-275	242.0	605.6	225.5-258.5	164.0-320.0		
11 Sep 80 N	2	252-260	256.0	32.0	205.2-306.8	−104.1-616.1		
25 Sep 80 D	1	247	247.0	0.0	—	—		
6 Oct 80 N	1	246	246.0	0.0	—	—		
21 Oct 80 D	4	241-269	257.0	160.0	242.8-271.2	183.1-330.9		
3 Nov 80 N	5	264-328	304.6	644.8	273.1-336.1	187.7-421.5		
1 Dec 80 N	9	253-319	276.8	510.2	259.5-294.1	201.0-352.6		
15 Dec 80 D	3	301-332	319.7	270.3	278.8-360.5	156.0-482.9		
7 Jan 81 N	11	273-329	292.1	332.9	279.8-304.4	234.3-350.6		
21 Jan 81 D	8	285-321	299.7	148.5	289.5-309.9	257.1-342.3		
2 Feb 81 N	10	266-300	276.4	109.4	268.9-283.9	242.4-310.4		
16 Feb 81 D	6	338-382	354.2	277.0	336.7-371.7	287.1-421.3		
16 Mar 81 D	3	315-318	316.3	2.3	312.5-320.1	301.2-331.4		
4 May 81 N	1	322	322.0	0.0	—	—		
16 Jun 81 D	2	318-334	326.0	128.0	224.4-427.6	−394.2-1046.2		
22 Jul 81 D	1	343	343.0	0.0	—	—		
Fall 1979								
5 Oct 79 N	2	51-87	74.0	338.0	−91.2-239.2	−1096.3-1244.3		
6 Nov 79 N	7	75-121	102.1	275.8	86.7-117.5	40.5-163.7		
18 Nov 79 D	2	101-145	123.0	968.0	−156.5-402.5	−1857.5-2103.5		
4 Dec 79 N	10	56-144	120.6	616.9	102.8-138.4	39.9-201.3		
14 Dec 79 D	40	54-150	118.8	397.8	112.4-125.2	65.5-173.1		
3 Jan 80 N	109	48-141	110.7	424.5	106.8-114.6	56.6-164.8		
16 Jan 80 D	10	94-144	125.1	192.5	115.2-135.0	80.0-170.2		
4 Feb 80 N	87	42-143	82.9	896.1	76.5-89.3	18.5-147.3	87	232
15 Feb 80 D	426	49-150	103.1	425.5	101.1-105.1	50.0-156.2	98	243
8 Mar 80 N	199	61-163	101.1	439.2	98.2-104.0	46.6-155.6	119	264
20 Mar 80 D	47	66-175	123.9	689.0	116.2-131.6	53.6-194.2	131	276
1 Apr 80 N	202	68-166	120.5	344.2	117.9-123.1	73.0-168.0	143	288
16 Apr 80 D	391	77-187	137.7	296.0	136.0-139.4	93.4-182.0	158	303
5 May 80 N	187	89-174	135.5	293.1	133.5-138.5	91.4-179.6	177	322
19 May 80 D	517	66-180	121.2	469.7	119.3-123.1	65.4-177.0	191	336
2 Jun 80 N	352	99-192	137.5	360.7	135.5-139.5	88.6-186.4	205	350
19 Jun 80 D	23	113-181	152.7	246.4	145.9-159.5	108.4-197.0	222	367
11 Jul 80 N	208	116-192	146.1	190.7	144.2-148.0	110.5-181.7	245	—
24 Jul 80 D	30	123-166	141.2	148.7	136.6-145.8	107.7-174.7	258	—
13 Aug 80 N	254	87-191	133.0	554.0	130.1-135.9	72.4-193.6	278	—
11 Sep 80 N	12	143-188	161.3	248.6	151.3-171.3	112.3-210.3	8 Nov	17 Jun
25 Sep 80 D	78	115-208	162.0	438.5	157.3-166.7	106.7-217.3		
6 Oct 80 N	14	158-229	187.3	468.7	174.8-199.8	122.1-252.5		
21 Oct 80 D	56	151-231	186.3	450.8	180.6-192.0	129.6-243.0		

Table 2—(Continued).

Collection date	n	Observed size range (mm)	$\bar{x}$	s^2	95% limits on means	99% limits on observations	Age (days) and hatching date			
Fall 1979 (Continued)										
3 Nov 80 N	38	157-225	192.9	394.7	186.4-199.4	138.8-247.0				
18 Nov 80 D	1	211	211.0	0.0	—	—				
1 Dec 80 N	99	152-242	191.3	490.1	186.9-195.7	133.1-249.5				
15 Dec 80 D	77	193-284	238.5	509.8	234.2-242.8	178.9-298.1				
7 Jan 81 N	92	165-267	215.1	789.8	209.3-220.9	141.2-289.0				
21 Jan 81 D	53	175-277	227.3	587.0	220.6-234.0	162.5-292.1				
2 Feb 81 N	55	171-252	207.2	457.2	201.4-213.0	150.1-264.3				
16 Feb 81 D	121	176-272	226.2	744.9	221.3-231.1	154.8-297.6				
2 Mar 81 N	73	180-292	221.0	644.6	215.1-226.9	153.8-288.2				
16 Mar 81 D	48	175-267	223.6	578.8	216.7-230.6	159.1-288.1				
7 Apr 81 N	50	189-309	238.3	1059.1	229.1-247.5	151.1-325.4				
20 Apr 81 D	33	186-297	222.4	698.1	213.0-231.8	150.1-294.7				
4 May 81 N	30	207-306	243.1	758.0	232.8-253.4	167.2-319.0				
19 May 81 D	30	202-273	233.6	426.7	225.9-241.3	176.7-290.5				
7 Jun 81 N	16	222-294	254.2	695.7	240.1-268.3	176.5-331.9				
16 Jun 81 D	40	219-300	243.8	377.0	237.6-250.0	191.3-296.3				
1 Jul 81 N	15	247-285	264.9	125.6	258.7-271.1	231.5-298.3				
22 Jul 81 D	21	245-301	265.8	223.8	259.0-272.6	223.2-310.4				
6 Aug 81 N	7	249-287	265.7	146.6	254.5-276.9	220.8-310.6				
18 Aug 81 D	8	259-308	278.1	262.7	264.5-291.7	221.4-334.8				
Fall 1980										
21 Oct 80 D	1	71	71.0	0.0	—	—				
3 Nov 80 N	5	88-118	103.8	219.2	85.4-122.2	35.6-172.0				
18 Nov 80 D	1	78	78.0	0.0	—	—				
1 Dec 80 N	21	71-121	106.1	254.0	98.8-113.4	60.8-151.4				
15 Dec 80 D	1	105	105.0	0.0	—	—				
7 Jan 81 N	180	50-148	111.0	593.6	107.4-114.6	48.2-173.8	EQUATION			
21 Jan 81 D	15	87-145	110.3	259.5	101.4-119.2	62.3-158.3	1	2	3	4
2 Feb 81 N	131	47-157	106.7	955.4	101.4-112.0	27.1-186.3	175	—	—	—
16 Feb 81 D	54	61-159	124.2	593.1	117.6-130.8	59.1-189.3	189	—	—	—
2 Mar 81 N	26	70-160	110.0	621.2	99.9-120.1	40.5-179.5	203	165	150	—
16 Mar 81 D	9	103-135	119.0	180.7	108.9-129.1	73.9-164.1	217	179	164	—
7 Apr 81 N	74	58-164	118.5	578.5	112.9-124.1	54.9-182.1	239	201	186	152
20 Apr 81 D	25	87-172	131.7	446.5	101.3-162.1	72.6-190.8	252	214	199	165
4 May 81 N	153	86-174	124.1	408.3	119.3-128.9	72.0-176.2	266	228	213	179
19 May 81 D	93	110-195	155.3	360.7	151.4-159.2	105.4-205.2	281	243	228	194
7 Jun 81 N	109	130-200	162.3	318.8	158.9-165.7	115.4-209.2	300	262	247	213
16 Jun 81 D	85	135-215	176.8	493.8	172.0-181.6	118.2-235.4	309	271	256	222
1 Jul 81 N	40	150-236	189.7	590.1	181.9-197.5	124.0-255.4	324	286	271	237
22 Jul 81 D	45	164-235	204.0	444.2	197.7-210.3	147.3-260.7	345	307	292	258
6 Aug 81 N	54	163-238	200.4	398.6	195.0-205.8	146.8-254.0	360	322	307	273
18 Aug 81 D	54	165-248	199.1	687.9	191.9-206.3	129.0-269.2	—	334	—	—
							11 Aug	20 Sep	3 Oct	5 Nov

Table 3—Summary of iterative process used to calculate hatching dates and set time scales for growth calculations on *Menticirrhus americanus*. Equations describe regressions of observed mean total length on age in days, from Table 2. Initial age values and growth equations were scaled to 1 October and 1 February hatching dates for fall and spring spawned groups. All regressions were significant at $\alpha = 0.07$ or higher. Equation numbers in parentheses refer to regression lines in Figure 4. No hatching date calculations were made for Fall 76 and Fall 77 cohorts because few of these fish were captured within 12 months of their hatching date.

Collection period (equation number)	Initial growth equation	Initial x-intercept	Final growth equation	$100r^2$	Hatching date
Spring 1981					
2 Mar 81- 6 Aug 81 (1)	TL=0.560 Age+15.9	−29	TL=0.560 Age−0.31	86.2	2 Jan 81
19 May 81- 6 Aug 81 (2)	TL=0.867 Age−4.39	5	TL=0.867 Age+0.22	92.7	8 Mar 81
19 May 81- 6 Aug 81 (3)	$TL=-0.009\ Age^2+3.583\ Age-225.9$	79	$TL=-0.009\ Age^2+2.137\ Age+0.02$	98.4	20 Apr 81
Fall 1978					
24 Feb 79-24 Jun 79	TL=0.344 Age+76.80	−223	TL=0.344 Age+0.07	63.0	25 Nov 77
24 Feb 79-22 Aug 79	TL=0.153 Age+114.5	−746	TL=0.153 Age+0.03	30.3	4 Sep 76
5 Apr 79-24 Jun 79 (1)	TL=0.532 Age+46.24	−87	TL=0.532 Age−0.18	86.5	5 Aug 78
5 Apr 79-22 Aug 79 (2)	$TL=-0.005\ Age^2+2.59\ Age-145.2$	65	$TL=-0.005\ Age^2+1.84\ Age-0.60$	72.5	31 Dec 78
Fall 1979					
4 Feb 80-19 Jun 80 (1)	TL=0.408 Age+42.24	−106	TL=0.408 Age−0.00	78.7	17 Jun 79
4 Feb 80-11 Jul 80	TL=0.171 Age+93.55	−548	TL=0.171 Age+0.03	41.6	3 Jun 78
4 Feb 80-24 Jul 80	TL=0.166 Age+94.15	−566	TL=166 Age+0.06	45.3	15 May 78
4 Feb 80-13 Aug 80 (2)	$TL=-0.003\ Age^2+1.45\ Age-52.3$	39	$TL=-0.003\ Age^2+1.24\ Age+0.16$	84.7	9 Nov 79
4 Feb 80-13 Aug 80 (3)	$TL=-0.003\ Age^2+1.70\ Age-76.79$	50	$TL=-0.003\ Age^2+1.38\ Age-0.05$	92.3	20 Nov 79
Fall 1980					
2 Feb 81- 6 Aug 81 (1)	TL=0.557 Age+27.6	−50	TL=0.557 Age−0.25	89.4	11 Aug 80
2 Mar 81- 6 Aug 81 (3)	TL=0.680 Age−22.7	33	TL=0.681 Age−0.18	91.1	3 Oct 80
2 Mar 81-18 Aug 81 (2)	TL=0.630 Age+63.6	−10	TL=0.630 Age+0.28	93.6	20 Sep 80
7 Apr 81- 6 Aug 81 (4)	TL=0.780 Age−26.7	36	TL=0.780 Age+0.43	90.2	5 Nov 80

Table 4—Calculations to estimate duration of spawning periods of *Menticirrhus americanus*, during 1978-81. Mean 99% confidence limits were calculated from data in Table 2 for the listed interval of collection dates. Equation numbers used to preduct initial and final sizes for growth increments are those in Figure 4 and Table 3.

Interval of Collection dates	Predicted total length		Mean 99% confidence interval on observations	Growth increment	Time interval (days)	Growth/day (mm)	Spawning duration (days)
	Initial	Final					
Spring 1981							
1. 19 May- 6 Aug 81	76.41	120.65	67.3	44.2	76	0.56	120
2. 19 May- 6 Aug 81	49.50	125.18	67.3	75.7	76	1.00	68
3. 19 May- 6 Aug 81	62.64	131.14	67.3	68.5	76	0.90	75
Fall 1978							
1. 24 Feb-15 May 79	107.92	148.78	92.9	40.9	77	0.53	174
2. 24 Feb-15 May 79	113.56	164.76	92.9	51.2	77	0.67	139
Fall 1979							
1. 4 Feb-19 May 80	64.45	127.56	112.1	63.1	104	0.61	184
2. 4 Feb-19 May 80	94.66	137.09	112.1	42.4	104	0.41	275
3. 4 Feb-19 May 80	87.50	151.15	112.1	63.7	104	0.61	183
Fall 1980							
1. 2 Feb-19 May 81	97.23	156.27	121.0	59.0	106	0.56	217
2. 2 Feb-19 May 81	86.59	153.37	121.0	66.8	106	0.63	192
3. 2 Feb-19 May 81	82.90	155.08	121.0	72.2	106	0.68	178
4. 2 Feb-19 May 81	69.85	152.53	121.0	82.7	106	0.78	155

Table 5—Total length (mm) statistics for *Menticirrhus americanus* by maturity stage and sex. Confidence limits are for observations.

Stage	n	$\bar{X}$	S	Observed size range	99% confidence limit
Immature					
	2,556	112	21.5	31-183	56-167
Male					
Maturing virgin	763	161	26.7	109-250	92-229
Early developing	540	195	31.3	130-303	114-275
Late developing	116	225	26.4	140-287	156-294
Ripening/Spawning	2	222	9.2	215-228	−364-807
Resting	27	238	19.6	208-271	183-292
Female					
Maturing virgin	162	162	24.2	120-241	99-224
Early developing	341	233	32.1	146-343	151-316
Late developing	96	258	29.6	205-345	180-336
Gravid	28	262	31.0	207-339	176-348
Ripe	27	265	35.1	203-333	167-362
Spawning/Spent	14	258	39.4	190-324	140-377
Resting	180	248	28.6	162-325	174-321

Table 6—Regression statistics of gonad weight (g) on total length (mm) for female *Menticirrhus americanus* captured off Freeport, Texas, October 1977-August 1981. Regressions were significant at $\alpha = 0.06$ or higher and are shown in Figure 5.

Collection month	n	r^2	Equation
SEPT.-NOV.			
Sep 79	24	0.678	0.0612 TL - 11.87
Oct 79	22	0.579	0.0207 TL - 3.81
Nov 79	13	0.800	0.0690 TL - 14.97
Sep 80	23	0.734	0.0261 TL - 4.47
Oct 80	28	0.471	0.0044 TL - 0.59
Nov 80	28	0.584	0.0511 TL - 10.05
DEC.-FEB.			
Dec 79	13	0.703	0.0198 TL - 3.57
Jan 80	44	0.577	0.0224 TL - 4.20
Feb 80	7	0.873	0.1002 TL - 18.63
Dec 80	84	0.550	0.0170 TL - 3.17
Jan 81	87	0.727	0.0188 TL - 3.59
Feb 81	101	0.559	0.0480 TL - 9.74
MAR.-MAY			
Mar 80	26	0.769	0.2306 TL - 46.70
Apr 80	49	0.700	0.1981 TL - 37.94
May 80	24	0.715	0.1092 TL - 20.51
Mar 81	65	0.602	0.1689 TL - 34.34
Apr 81	40	0.831	0.1140 TL - 21.61
May 81	24	0.792	0.1216 TL - 25.24
JUNE-AUG.			
Jun 80	16	0.829	0.0740 TL - 14.93
Jul 80	8	0.815	0.1087 TL - 24.51
Aug 80	6	0.619	0.0545 TL - 11.27
Jun 81	61	0.640	0.0567 TL - 10.85
Jul 81	44	0.823	0.0805 TL - 16.01
Aug 81	33	0.764	0.0681 TL - 13.33

Table 7—Observed mean total length (mm) at 6 months, Ages I and II, for fall-spawned cohorts of *Menticirrhus americanus* off Freeport, Texas. Mean sizes and confidence limits at Ages I and II were calculated from mean size statistics (Table 2) for the period September-November and assume a September-November hatching date; collections from August were substituted in 1981 when fall cruises were not made. Mean size statistics at 6 months were calculated from data (Table 2) for the period March-May, and 99% confidence limits for observations were calculated as means of upper and lower limits given in Table 2.

	6 months			Age I			Age II		
Cohort	No. of means in calc. of $\bar{X}$	$\bar{X}$	99% limits on observ.	No. of means in calc. of $\bar{X}$	$\bar{X}$	99% limits on observ.	No. of means in calc. of $\bar{X}$	$\bar{X}$	99% limits on observ.
1976	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	267.9	208-322
1977	2	166.5	64-269	3	180.7	90-372	5	284.7	184-376
1978	3	137.0	88-185	5	207.1	128-317	5	262.1	185-376
1979	6	123.3	89-199	6	183.5	122-134	1	278.1	221-335
1980	6	126.4	70-183	2	199.8	138-262	—	—	—
Pooled mean	4	138.3	78-209	4	192.8	119-271	4	272	200-352

Table 8—Regressions of weight-length, girth-length, and length-length for *Menticirrhus americanus*, with supporting statistics. Regressions were significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. The symbol ν is from Ricker's (1973) GM regression. Measures are grams and millimeters.

	Equation	n	TL range	$100r^2$	Mean square error	Corrected total SS_X	Corrected total SS_Y	$\bar{X}$	$\bar{Y}$	ν
Immatures	Log_{10} TW = −5.61 + 3.24 Log_{10} TL	2,556	31-183	96.2	0.004	23.4	255.1	2.04	0.99	3.30
Males	Log_{10} TW = −5.95 + 3.40 Log_{10} TL	1,448	109-303	97.6	0.002	11.0	130.0	2.25	1.69	3.44
Females	Log_{10} TW = −5.94 + 3.39 Log_{10} TL	1,697	120-345	98.6	0.002	20.0	232.4	2.28	1.79	3.41
Males + females + immatures	Log_{10} TW = −5.79 + 3.33 Log_{10} TL	5,701	31-345	98.8	0.003	128.4	1,438.2	2.17	1.42	3.35
Males + females	Log_{10} TW = −5.93 + 3.39 Log_{10} TL	3,145	109-345	98.3	0.002	31.7	369.9	2.26	1.74	3.42
	TL = 7.40 + 1.18 SL	5,701	31-345	99.8	5.06	11,522,762	16,072,558	125.0	155.1	1.18
	SL = −6.19 + 0.85 TL	5,701	31-345	99.8	3.63	16,072,558	11,522,762	155.1	125.0	0.85
	G = −11.53 + 0.61 TL	5,701	31-345	98.0	21.14	16,072,558	6,144,025	155.1	83.4	0.62
	TL = 21.60 + 1.60 G	5,701	31.345	98.0	55.30	6,144,025	16,072,558	83.4	155.1	1.62

NOAA TECHNICAL REPORT NMFS

Guidelines for Contributors

FORM OF MANUSCRIPT

Authors are encouraged to retain manuscripts on word processing storage media, such as diskettes, floppy disks, cassette or magnetic tapes, and submit a hard copy run from the storage media. Hardcopy should be typed double-spaced on white bond paper in the following sequence:

Title page
Contents
Abstract
Text
Acknowledgments
Citations
Text footnotes
Appendix
Tables
Figure Legends
Figures

CONTENTS OF MANUSCRIPT

Contents. Contains all text headings with page numbers omitted.

Abstract. Not to exceed one double-spaced typed page. Should include a sentence or two explaining to the general reader why the research was undertaken and why the results should be viewed as important. Abstract should convey the main point of the paper and outline the results or conclusions. Footnotes and references do not belong in the abstract.

Text. A brief introduction should portray the broad significance of the paper. The entire text should be intelligible to readers from different disciplines. All technical terms should be defined. Follow the *U.S. Government Printing Office Style Manual*, 1984 edition.

Fishery nomenclature follows the American Fisheries Society Special Publication No. 12, *A List of Common and Scientific Names of Fishes from the United States and Canada*, 4th edition, 1980.

Abbreviations and symbols. Define all symbols, abbreviations, and acronyms. Define all symbols in equations and formulas. Abbreviate units of measure only when used with numerals or in tables and figures where there is lack of space. Periods are rarely used except for et al., vs., e.g., i.e., etc.

Measurements should be expressed in metric units. Other equivalent units may be given in parentheses.

Text footnotes. Type on a separate sheet from the text. Footnotes are not used for reference material or personal communications, but rather to explain or define terms in the text and for contribution numbers.

Personal communications are noted in parentheses in the text (name, affiliation, brief address including zip code, month and year).

Citations. All written sources should be listed in the Citations section, including unpublished and processed material. In text, cite as Smith and Jones (1977) or (Smith and Jones 1977); if more than one citation, list chronologically (Smith 1936; Jones 1975; Doe 1977). All sources cited in the text should be listed alphabetically by the senior authors' surname under the heading CITATIONS. Abbreviations of periodicals and serials should conform to the Biosis Data Base™. The author is responsible for the accuracy of all citations.

Acknowledgments. Gather all acknowledgments into a brief statement at the end of the text. Give credit only for exceptional contributions and not to those whose contributions are part of their normal duties.

Tables. Tables should supplement, not duplicate, the text. Each table should appear on a separate page, and be numbered consecutively. Headings should be short but amply descriptive so that the reader need not refer to the text. For values less than 1, zeros should precede all decimal points. Give each column a heading with units of measure indicated in parentheses. Do not change the unit of measure within a column. Table footnotes should be numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals across the page from left to right and down; to avoid confusion with exponents, place them to the left of numerals, e.g., $^{3}10$. All tables should be cited consecutively in the text and their placement, where first mentioned, indicated in the left-hand margin of the manuscript page. Lengthy tables that are typed in the proper format and are clean and legible can be used camera-ready.

Figures. Photographs and line drawings should be of professional quality—clear and concise—and reducible to 42 picas for full-page width or to 20 picas for a single-column width, and a maximum of 57 picas high. All illustrations must be proportioned so that they are legible when reduced to fit the page format. Line weight and lettering should be sharp and even. Lettering on graphs should be upper and lower case, and vertical lettering should be avoided as much as possible (except for vertical, y, axis). For values less than 1, zeros should precede all decimal points. Reproductions of line art work are accepted in the form of photographic prints from negatives or photomechanical transfer. Halftones should be sharply focused with good contrast. Micron rules should be inserted on electron micrographs, even when magnification is included in the figure legend. There should be good distinction between identifying letters (preferably press-on) and background of photograph. All figures should be cited consecutively in the text and their placement, where first mentioned, indicated in the left-hand margin of the manuscript page. Each figure should be labeled in pencil on the back. DO NOT SEND original or photographic prints of figures to the Scientific Editor; they will be requested when the manuscript is accepted for publication.

Copyright. Government publications are in the public domain, i.e., they are not protected by copyright.

SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPTS

Send original hardcopy and two duplicated copies of the manuscript to:

Dr. Andrew E. Dizon, Scientific Editor
NOAA Technical Reports NMFS
Southwest Fisheries Center
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA
P.O. Box 271
La Jolla, CA 92038-0271

Indicate whether word processing storage media are available, but do not forward disks until requested by Scientific Editor or Scientific Publications office.

Copies of published reports. For single monographs, the senior author will be provided with 50 copies free of charge and 50 to his or her organization. For collections of papers, each senior author will receive 50 separates and one cover, and his or her organization will receive the same. Additional copies may be purchased in lots of 100.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Questions regarding editorial policy and procedures may be forwarded to:

Nancy Peacock, Managing Editor
NOAA Technical Reports NMFS
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA
Scientific Publications Office
Bin C15700
Seattle, WA 98115

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC
ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE
SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS OFFICE
BIN C15700
SEATTLE, WA 98115

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use, $300

BULK RATE
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
U.S. Department of Commerce
Permit No. G-19

NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 13, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment and to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth.

The major components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

PROFESSIONAL PAPERS—Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.

CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS—Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship.

ATLAS—Presentation of analyzed data generally in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall, chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc.

TECHNICAL SERVICE PUBLICATIONS—Reports containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing includes data serials; predictions and outlook periodicals; technical manuals, training papers, planning reports, and information serials; and miscellaneous technical publications.

TECHNICAL REPORTS—Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS—Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.

Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161